UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,868	04/24/2006	Keita Nishino	5404/102	8019
	7590 12/02/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039		BERCH, MARK L		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/533,86	58	NISHINO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			•	Art Unit				
		/Mark L. E	Berch/	1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the median patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no every control of the c	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 Santambar :	2008					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	E)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	(S) Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,14 and 15</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election r	equirement					
اـــا(٥	ciaiii(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election i	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 559533.

In EP 559533, see examples 1-3, corresponding to R3 as t-butly or isopropyl. The sole difference is that these species have the t-butyl dimethyl silyl group, whereas the claims call for the trimethyl silyl or triethyl silyl. However, page 3, line 18 says that any trilower alkylsilyl group can be used, and the TMS and TES groups are entirely conventional.

The traverse is unpersuasive. Applicants argue that the reference is not enabling, because the TMS and TES protecting groups will not survive. Toward this end the Greene reference is cited, which states that the TMS ethers undergo hydrolysis in aqueous methanol with a trace of acetic acid present. However:

- A. Even if true, this would only make the TMS compound non-enabled, not the TES.

 The triethylsilyl ether is approximately 10-100 times more stable than the TMS ether.
- B. This is completely speculative. The reference does not have water, does not have methanol, and does not have acetic acid. Therefore, the teachings of Greene seem to have very little to do with the cited reference. It is not clear why applicants expect hydrolysis to

occur in a system with no water in the first place. Applicants point to the thionyl chloride, without quite saying what that has to do with anything. The reaction solution is not going to become significantly acidic, because even if some HCl is produced as a byproduct, the pyridine will neutralize it.

C. Even if the TMS group did not survive step 3, one of ordinary skill in the art knows how to put the TMS group back on prior to starting phosphorylation step 4.

Specification

The abstract is objected to as failing to set forth what the "novel intermediate" actually looks like. It is suggested that the formula 1 and either 3 or 4 be put into the abstract.

Information Disclosure Statement

Reference B3 was struck from the IDS as a copy of the actual WIPO document was not provided.

Applicants refer to an earlier "Japanese language document", but the only one received was JP 62-103084. Applicants now refer to providing a copy of the WO document and a copy of the IDS, but these were not received.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

Application/Control Number: 10/533,868 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Mark L. Berch/ whose telephone number is 571-272-0663.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark L. Berch/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

12/2/2008